





Rethinking the summer temporary trawling closure in the Northern Adriatic Sea:

a proposal from the fishers of Chioggia participating to the GAP2 project

Saša Raicevich & Otello Giovanardi (Istituto Superiore per la Protezione e la Ricerca Ambientale, STS Chioggia)



sasa.raicevich@isprambiente.it

www.gap2.eu

MedAC Focus Group on GSA 17, Marseille

23rd April 2015

Bridging the gap between science, stakeholders and policy makers

Phase 2 - Integration of evidence-based knowledge and its application to science and management of fisheries and the marine environment

Through participation in joint research and shared learning, we work together for healthy seas which society can depend upon for food, income and livelihoods

GAP2's purpose is to demonstrate the role and value of stakeholder driven science within the context of fisheries' governance

GAP2's work is coordinated by a interdisciplinary team across Europe, with expertise ranging from social science to fisheries management

The project is divided into six work packages







GAP2 Italy – Northern Adriatic Sea case study

Spatio-temporal distribution of fishing effort and biological resources in the Northern Adriatic Sea: toward the identification of fish habitats and management proposals in the framework of a participatory approach

FISHERY-DEPENDENT SAMPLING: OBSERVERS ON BOARD



Total weight of all commercial species and length frequency distribution of the most important target species; Discard analysis on samples

ELECTRONIC LOG-BOOK

Electronic log-books allow storing real-time position data (GPS) and catch data haul by haul



FISHERY-INDEPENDENT SURVEYS (SCIENTISTS + FISHERMEN)

To assess the state of fish stocks in the waters of the Veneto Region before the end of the summer fishing ban (survey performed in 2012, 2013 and 2014)

SHARING DATA AND BUILDING KNOWLEDGE WITH FISHERMEN

Periodical meetings are organized in order to update the fishermen with the latest data and to discuss new topics and future activities



Chioggia fishermen



ISPRA researchers





Case study scientist



Case study scientist







MONICA MION Case study researcher



CAMILLA PIRAS Case study researcher



Case study scientist





UNIMAR and CNR Researchers











RESULTS FROM RESEARCH ACTIVITIES

111

Trawl- survey (Researchers + Fishermen)



Assessment of the fisherires resources' status of the Veneto Region waters at the end of the annual fishing ban (August 2012, 2013, 2014)

- 2 fishing vessels (GAP2 fishermen)
- 21 stations (allocation defined by fishermen and researchers)
- Coastal perpendicular transept (4, 6, 10, 14 e 18 nautical miles from the coast)
- Study area extension: 59 nautical miles from Grado-Marano Lagoon to Po Delta
- Haul duration about 60 minutes
- 3 days of activities at the sea
- Activities at the laboratory to collect biometrics data, data entry and analysis

Environmental data: BOTTOM TEMPERATURE

Higher temperature near the shore

In 2014 increasing of the bottom temperature in the south area compared to the previous years





Environmental data: BOTTOM SALINITY

Higher values off-shore

In 2014 decreasing of the bottom salinity in the southern waters near the shore





WEATHER CONDITIONS



Rainy days

2014: rainy and variable summer



In 2014: \rightarrow intensive rainfalls \rightarrow higher rivers outflow

 \rightarrow stable bottom temperature and salinity in the northern area

→ increasing of the bottom temperature, mainly in the southern waters (instable water column)

→ decreasing of the bottom salinity, mainly in the southern area

PO RIVER OUTFLOW



Total commercial catch (kg/h)



62 mean ± 32 kg/h

44 mean ± 30 kg/h

40 mean ± 17 kg/h

An overview of the main commercial species...



Year

Year

0.0

Year









Length frequency distribution of the Red mullet

Lower CATCHES Larger SIZES compared to 2013

Length frequency distribution of the Common cuttlefish

Higher CATCHES Larger SIZES

Length frequency distribution of the European squid

CATCHES mainly off-shore Larger SIZES compared to 2013

Musky octopus

Length frequency distribution of the Musky octopus

Merlangius merlangus 70 • 2012 • 2013 • 2014 60 L L 50 Average biomass (kg/h) 40 30 20 Whiting 10 0 6 10 14 18 4 Nautical miles Merlangius merlangus Merlangius merlangus Merlangius merlangus 2012 2013 2014 SZ. kg/h kg/h kg/h Х Х Х 0 0 0 0.05 - 4 0.05 - 4 0.05 - 4 4 - 15 4 - 15 4 - 15 15 - 39 15 - 39 15 - 39 39 - 71 39 - 71 39 - 71

Mantis shrimp

Squilla mantis 2012 kg/h 0.02 - 0.6 0.6 - 2 2 - 9

Summarizing...

- Intensive rains and river discharges during spring and summer 2014.
- <u>Higher bottom temperature</u> and <u>lower bottom salinity</u>, mainly in the southern area.
- <u>Larger sizes</u> (Red mullet, Common cuttlefish, Squid and Musky octopus) probably due to favorable high temperature (<u>anticipation of the spawning period</u>) and <u>food</u> <u>availability</u> (river input).
- The broad length distributions suggest that the <u>spawning period</u> was <u>more</u> <u>extended</u>.
- The presence of juveniles of Red mullet was recorded each year, even if it was characterized by <u>annual variability</u> in terms of quantity (lower in 2014, probably because the majority of the juveniles was still concentrated in the inshore area).
- <u>Decrease</u> of the <u>Red mullet</u> catches and an <u>increase</u> of the catches and sizes of the <u>Common cuttlefish</u> and the <u>Musky octopus</u>.
- The Squid was caught mainly in the off-shore waters during all the three surveys.
- <u>Collapse</u> of the catches of the <u>Whiting (2013-2014)</u>, probably due to the <u>increase</u> of the sea temperature.
- The Mantis shrimp was caught mainly in the inshore southern area, characterized by muddy sea-bottom.

On-board observers

Scientific observers on-boarded during commercial fishing trips (Chioggia fleet)

2012-2014: **78 fishing trips monitored** with 5 otter-trawls

Data collected for each haul:

- Navigation data
- Environmental parameters (bottom water temperature, salinity, depth)
- Length frequency distribution of the most important demersal commercial species (*Sepia officinalis, Mullus barbatus, Solea vulgaris, Loligo vulgaris, Eledone moschata, Merlangius merlangus*)
- Total catch weight
- Total weight of commercial species
- Discard samples for laboratory analysis

Electronic logbook

Electronic logbooks have been installed on 5 otter-trawls. The target is to increase the participation to other fishing vessels.

2012-2014: **4228 fishing trips monitored** with 5 otter-trawls

Data collected for each haul:

- Navigation data recorded by GPS loggers
- •Total weight of main commercial species recorded by fishermen

Benthic assemblages

H. trunculus A. irregularis

(mixed bottom)

Ven 1a

stituto Superiore per la Protezione la Ricerca Ambientale

Chioggia

Demersal discard samples

Evaluation of specific composition, abundance, biomass

<u>S. domuncula – P. microtuberculatus</u> Microcosmus sp., Pyura sp., L. depurator (sandy bottom)

<u> A. pespelecani – A. irregularis</u>

H. trunculus, M. lanata, G. rhomboides (muddy bottom) COGNITIVE TOOL describe benthic habitat to improve EXPLICIT SPATIAL MANAGEMENT Spatial distribution: S. officinalis

September – October 2012

SEASONAL MIGRATION:

In autumn *S. officinalis* moves away from the coast to offshore area →Trawlers capture mainly juveniles cuttlefish in migration POTENTIAL LOSS OF CATCH

In late autumn and winter *S. officinalis* is distribute homogeneously on fishing areas

Spatial distribution: S. officinalis

March – April 2013

SEASONAL MIGRATION FOR SPAWNING LARGER INDIVIDUALS Spatial distribution: S. officinalis

May – June - July 2013

COMPOSED OF

In the late spring and summer S.officinalis is not available for trawlers beacuse is concentrated in inshore area and lagoon for spawning

MAIN target species for the <u>artisanal fisheries</u> (Belcari *et al.,* 2002)

September – October 2013

SEASONAL MIGRATION = 2012

Post-ban LFDs are bimodal

November – December 2013

In late autumn and winter *S. officinalis* is distribute homogeneously in fishing areas = 2012 Spatial distribution: *M. barbatus*

SEASONAL MIGRATION from inshore to deeper water

Post-ban LFDs are bimodal with the first component formed by small fishes \rightarrow Mean TL 7,9 – 9,1 cm

Spatial distribution: *M. barbatus*

November – December 2012

Mean TL 12,9 cm

Presenze of small sized red mullet

Presence of undersized individuals before and after the commercial fishing ban (August)

Linking data to management: reflections on the Summer trawling closures

Time frame

Number of days

FISHERMEN OPINIONS

ITAFISH project interviews (2013 results)

94 STAKEHOLDERS interviewed

9 shipowners
38 captains
42 sailors
5 administrative officers

EXPERIENCE ON BOARD FISHING VESSELS

From 2 to 55 years (mean 28)

FISHING-BAN PERIOD, APPROPRIATE?

MAIN REASONS highlighted

ECONOMIC

BIOLOGICAL

LOW commercial catch

LOW commercial value

LOW market demand

(BEFORE fishing-ban)

presence of **JUVENILES**, commercial and non-comm. species

(BEFORE and AFTER fishing-ban)

ALTERNATIVE PERIODS SUGGESTED

~95% suggested alternative periods

ALTERNATIVE PERIODS SUGGESTED

ALTERNATIVE PERIODS SUGGESTED

by main fishing gear used

Fishing ban period, further thoughts

...a recent meeting (Sept. 2014) with fishermen and other stakeholders highlighted:

Lenghten the FISHING-BAN period, involving the two adjacent months (JULY – SEPTEMBER) same reasons as stated by ITAFISH interviews (2013), both BIOLOGICAL and ECONOMICAL

- Extend the FISHING-BAN to ALL fishing gears, both active and passive ones
- Conservation and management measures → structured on Adriatic basin level involvement of all the States facing and exploiting the same resources/stocks (GSA17)

■ Regulate products IMPORTATION → large quantities seen as promoters of unfair-competition, especially during the FISHING-BAN

Main conflicts

among different metiers

among different dimensional categories

with the other Adriatic countries

Conclusions

- The results of the research activities and the fishermen opinions suggest that the duration of the fishing ban should be increased (anticipating and postponing by 15 days);
- The inter-annual variability observed suggest that it would be appropriate to enforce an adaptive approach, deciding the extension of the ban on the basis of the results of the scientific researches;
- It is necessary to extend the sampling activities to the inshore area (within the 4 nautical miles);
- It is necessary to manage the restart of the fishing avoiding the fast depletion of the resources and the collapse of the prices at the market.
- It is necessary to extend the management measures to the entire Adriatic basin, or at least to the northern area, calibrating the measures on the basis of the ecological, economic and social peculiarities of the different countries

The GAP2 group proposal for the Northern Adriatic Sea Fishery District

- 1) Summer trawling closure of 60 days (e.g. from 11° July to 13° Sept. 2015);
- 2) In the following 8-10 weeks **max. 60 hours of fishing per week** from Monday to Thursday;
- In the following period, max. 72 hours of fishing per week within a maximum of 4 days within a week;

